

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/297,289	04/28/1999	MASATOSHI MOTEKI	551512/058 9554		
7:	590 04/02/2002				
STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN 180 MAIDEN LANE NEW YORK, NY 100384982			EXAMINER		
			ROSKOSKI, BERNARD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
•			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>(</del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	dh			
Office Action Summary		09/297,289	MOTEKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bernard Roskoski	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠							
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-40 and 51-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>51-70</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2841

Claims 511-53, 58-64 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non elected invention of group 2.

Claims 66-70 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non elected invention. Method claims wer3e not originally presented.

The rejection of paper number 9 is repeated in its entirety and incorporated herein. The 112 rejection is withdrawn

The suggestion of the references to a spring is sufficient teaching to motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to produce "springs" of any and all kinds. Applicant has offered no evidence of unexpected results. The support for the terms supported by a substrate referenced by applicant in the disclosure makes no sense. Further all claimed limitations must be illustrated. The question before the examiner is whether given the teachings of the references it would be obvious to construct a spring as claimed. Absent evidence of unexpected results the answer must be in the affirmative.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Roskoski whose telephone number is 703 308 3095. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Martin, can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 305 3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

Application/Control Number: 09/297,289

Art Unit: 2841

Bernard Roskoski Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841